

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/849,077	05/19/2004	Adrian M. Romanyszyn	L-0171.02	5649	
7590 12/15/2005			EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER L. MAKAY			HANAN,	HANAN, DEVIN J	
1634 Milam Bı	_			D. 1 DED 3 UD (DED	
115 East Travis Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Antonio,	ΓX 78205		3745		

**DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\searrow$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/849,077	ROMANYSZYN, ADRIAN M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devin Hanan	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, .136(a). In no event, however, may a repd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTINE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  By be timely filed  Solution from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	*	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6,8-14 and 16-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 7 and 15 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 May 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a by the Examination is objected to be a by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a by the Examination is objected to by the Exami	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objector e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "paddlewheel" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Paddlewheel tangs were introduced, but no mention was made of the paddlewheel.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "symmetrical in the radial direction" in line 2. It is unclear if the tangs are claimed to be symmetric about a line in the radial direction or symmetric in some other manner.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the primary face is symmetrical to the secondary face along the outer periphery" in line 1-2. It is unclear as to what line the two faces are symmetric with respect to.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8, 9, 12-14 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Utter (U.S. Patent 5,104,077).

Utter discloses a paddlewheel with a primary face and a secondary face attached at one end that is capable of moving a product in a first direction and a second direction (tangs 116 have two sides, each capable of moving ice in a different direction).

Regarding claim 8, Utter discloses the tangs can move ice (col. 1 lines 6-16).

Regarding claim 9, Utter discloses the tangs can move ice cubes (col. 1 lines 6-16).

Regarding claim 12, Utter discloses a paddlewheel with a truncated conical body (central hub 112 has a truncated conical shape that, see the element to the right of element 134 in figure 3, the truncated conical shape also has depressions that can be see in figure 5) having an outer periphery with tangs (116), the tangs having a primary face and a secondary face each of which can move a product in either direction (tangs 116 have two sides, each capable of moving ice in a different direction).

Regarding claim 13, Utter discloses a paddlewheel with a primary face of a tang that pushes the product in a first direction (one face moves product when rotated in a clockwise direction).

Application/Control Number: 10/849,077

Art Unit: 3745

Regarding claim 14, Utter discloses a paddlewheel with a secondary face of a tang that pushes the product in a second direction (one face moves product when rotated in a counterclockwise direction).

Regarding claim 17, Utter discloses a paddlewheel that moves ice (col. 1 lines 6-116).

Regarding claim 18, Utter discloses a paddlewheel that rotates about a central aperture in the truncated conical body (aperture in element 112 in figure 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2-6, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Utter.

Utter discloses all the claimed limitations in claim 1 above, but does not disclose a fifty degree angle between the primary face and the secondary face.

Since the applicant has not disclosed that having a fifty degree angle between the faces solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the fact that it is a design choice and it appears that the angle between faces of Utter would perform equally well with moving a product as claimed by applicant, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to further modify the angle between faces of Utter by

using the angle between faces as claimed for the purposes of a changing the orientation of the faces to suit different products.

Regarding claim 3, the modified apparatus of Utter as discussed in claim 2 above discloses all of the claimed limitations and the truncated body as discussed in the rejection of claim 12 above.

Regarding claim 4, the modified apparatus of Utter as discussed in claim 3 above discloses all of the claimed limitations and a central aperture as discussed in the rejection of claim 18 above.

Regarding claim 5, the modified apparatus of Utter as discussed in claim 4 above discloses all of the claimed limitations and a primary face pushing a product in one direction (one face moves the product when rotated in a clockwise direction).

Regarding claim 6, the modified apparatus of Utter as discussed in claim 5 above discloses all of the claimed limitations and a secondary face pushing a product in another direction (one face moves the product when rotated in a counterclockwise direction).

Regarding claims 10 and 16, the applicant has not disclosed that having a rounded crest of the tangs solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the fact that it is a design choice and it appears that the crest shape of Utter would perform equally well with moving a product as claimed by applicant, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to further modify crest shape of Utter by using the rounded crest shape as claimed for the purposes of a moving rather large products

Art Unit: 3745

that don't need a sharp edge to seal off the gap between the tangs and the surface which the ice rests against during rotation upwards.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim, any intervening claims and overcoming the 35 USC 112 rejection of claim 4.

Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Prior Art

The patent to Jonn (U.S. Patent 2,083,996) was cited for its teaching of a paddlewheel with similar structure having two faces that could possibly move a product in two directions, but moving the fan in two directions would destroy the reference.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devin Hanan whose telephone number is 571-272-6089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/849,077 Page 7

Art Unit: 3745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Devin Hanan Patent Examiner Art Unit 3745

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

12/05

Sald